STATE OF MAINE
ANDROSCOGGIN, SS.

BUSINESS AND CONSUMER COURT
CIVIL ACTION
DOCKET NO. BCD-CIV-2025-00028

IN RE CENTRAL MAINE DATA SECURITY LITIGATION

~~[PROPOSED]~~ ORDER AND FINAL JUDGMENT GRANTING PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR FINAL APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT AND APPLICATION FOR ATTORNEYS' FEES, COSTS, AND SERVICE AWARDS

This matter came before the Court on October 28, 2026, for a Final Approval Hearing on Plaintiffs' Motion for Final Approval of Class Action Settlement and Application for Attorney's Fees, Costs and Service Awards (the "Motion"), filed by Plaintiffs and Class Representatives Vanessa DeJoseph, Tonia Blanchette, Cathy Farmer, Glendon Farmer, Tammy Lunt, Alex Hill, and Stephen Beauton (the "Class Representatives"), individually and on behalf of the Settlement Class. The Court has considered the Motion, the supporting Memorandum of Law, the Settlement Agreement and its exhibits (the "Settlement Agreement"), the Joint Declaration of Class Counsel in Support of Motion for Attorneys' Fees, Expenses, and Service Awards, the Declaration of Cameron R. Azari, Esq. Regarding Commencement of Notice Program, the record, and the arguments of counsel. No Settlement Class Member objected to the Settlement or the Application for Attorneys' Fees, Costs, and Service Awards. Good cause appearing, the Court FINDS, ORDERS, ADJUDGES, AND DECREES as follows:

1. Incorporation of Settlement Agreement. This Order incorporates the Settlement Agreement, filed as Exhibit A to Plaintiffs' Unopposed Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement. Capitalized terms not defined herein have the meanings given in the Settlement Agreement.

2. Jurisdiction. The Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this Action and over all Parties to this Action, including all Settlement Class Members, for purposes of approving the Settlement and entering this Order and Final Judgment.

3. Final Certification of the Settlement Class. Pursuant to Me. R. Civ. P. 23(a) and 23(b)(3), and for settlement purposes only, the Court finally certifies the following Settlement Class:

> *All individuals residing in the United States whose Private Information may have been impacted in the Data Incident and to whom Defendants sent an individual notification that they were affected by the Data Incident.*
>
> *Excluded from the Settlement Class are (a) all persons who are directors and officers of Defendants; (b) governmental entities; and (c) the Judge assigned to the Action, that Judge's immediate family, and Court staff.*

4. Rule 23 Findings. The Court finds, for settlement purposes only, that: (a) the Settlement Class, consisting of approximately 218,884 individuals, is so numerous that joinder of all members is impracticable; (b) there are questions of law and fact common to the Settlement Class; (c) the claims of the Class Representatives are typical of the claims of the Settlement Class; (d) the Class Representatives and Class Counsel have fairly and adequately represented and protected the interests of the Settlement Class; and (e) questions of law and fact common to the Settlement Class predominate over any questions affecting only individual members, and a class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy. The requirements of Me. R. Civ. P. 23(a) and 23(b)(3) are satisfied, and the Settlement Class is finally certified for settlement purposes only. If the Effective Date does not occur, this certification is automatically vacated and may not be used in support of any later certification motion in this Action.

5. Class Representatives and Class Counsel. The Court confirms the appointment of Vanessa DeJoseph, Tonia Blanchette, Cathy Farmer, Glendon Farmer, Tammy Lunt, Alex Hill, and Stephen Beauton as Class Representatives for the Settlement Class, and confirms the appointment of Mariya Weekes of Milberg PLLC and Jeffrey Ostrow of Kopelowitz Ostrow P.A. as Class Counsel for the Settlement Class.

6. Adequacy of Notice. The Notice Program implemented under the Preliminary Approval Order entered July 9, 2026, included mailing the Postcard Notice to 218,595 identified Settlement Class Members, maintaining the Settlement Website and Long Form Notice, and operating a toll-free telephone number. The Court finds the Notice Program was carried out in accordance with the Settlement Agreement and the Preliminary Approval Order, constituted the best notice practicable under the circumstances, provided due and sufficient notice to all persons entitled to such notice, and satisfied Me. R. Civ. P. 23(c)(2), due process, and all other applicable law. No further notice is required.

7. Final Approval of the Settlement. Having considered the Settlement in light of the likely results of continued litigation, the stage of the proceedings, the uniformly positive reaction of the Settlement Class (including the absence of any objections or requests for exclusion), the quality of counsel, the arm's-length, mediator-assisted negotiations that produced the Settlement, and the risks, expense, complexity, and likely duration of further litigation – including the risks of establishing liability and damages and of maintaining class certification through trial and any appeal – the Court finds that the Settlement is fair, reasonable, and adequate, and in the best interests of the Settlement Class. The Settlement is APPROVED pursuant to Me. R. Civ. P. 23(e), and the Parties and the Settlement Administrator shall perform their respective obligations in accordance with its terms.

8. Objections and Requests for Exclusion. The deadline to object to the Settlement or the Application for Attorneys' Fees, Costs, and Service Awards, or to request exclusion from the Settlement Class, was September 13, 2026. No Settlement Class Member timely objected. The Class members listed in Exhibit A hereto filed valid and timely exclusions. They will not be bound by the release in the Settlement Agreement. All members of the Settlement Class who did not timely and validly request exclusion, including Settlement Class Members who did not submit a Claim Form, are bound by this Order and Final Judgment, including the release in Paragraph 13.

9. Attorneys' Fees and Costs. The Court finds Class Counsel's requested fee award fair and reasonable under the percentage-of-the-fund method, considering the size of the Settlement Fund and the benefit to the Settlement Class, the skill and efficiency of Class Counsel, the risk and contingent nature of the litigation, the lodestar cross-check, the absence of

objections, and fee awards in comparable data breach cases. The Court AWARDS Class Counsel $456,008.33 in attorneys' fees (one-third of the Settlement Fund) and $7,403.25 in costs, a combined $463,411.58, payable from the Settlement Fund under Section XI of the Settlement Agreement.

10. Service Awards. The Court AWARDS each of the seven Class Representatives a Service Award of $2,500.00, for a total of $17,500.00, payable from the Settlement Fund under Section XI of the Settlement Agreement and in addition to any Settlement Class Member Benefit otherwise due.

11. Distribution of Settlement Benefits. The Settlement Administrator shall pay Settlement Class Member Benefits to Settlement Class Members with Valid Claims, and shall pay Settlement Administration Costs, the attorneys' fees and costs awarded above, and the Service Awards, all from the Settlement Fund as set forth in the Settlement Agreement.

12. Cy Pres. Any residual funds remaining after distribution of Settlement Class Member Benefits and payment of Settlement Administration Costs, attorneys' fees, costs, and Service Awards shall be distributed to Central Maine Community College's Applied Science in Cybersecurity-Digital Forensics Program, the Parties' agreed cy pres recipient.

13. Release. Upon the Effective Date, and in accordance with Section XII of the Settlement Agreement, the Releasing Parties shall be deemed to have, and by operation of this Order and Final Judgment shall have, fully, finally, and forever released, acquitted, relinquished, and discharged the Released Parties from any and all Released Claims. All Releasing Parties, including every Settlement Class Member who did not timely and validly request exclusion from the Settlement Class, are permanently barred and enjoined from filing, commencing, prosecuting, maintaining, or asserting any Released Claim against any Released Party, in any capacity and in any forum whatsoever.

14. Dismissal. The Action, including all claims asserted therein, is DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE. Except as provided in the Settlement Agreement or this Order, the Parties shall bear their own attorneys' fees and costs.

15. No Admission. Neither this Order, the Settlement Agreement, nor any document, statement, or proceeding referred to therein, nor any action taken to carry out the Settlement, is, or shall be construed as or offered or received in evidence as, an admission by or against Defendants of any fault, wrongdoing, or liability, or an admission by or against Plaintiffs or the Settlement Class that their claims lack merit or are subject to any defense.

17. Entry of Judgment. The Clerk is directed to enter this Order as the Final Judgment in this Action forthwith.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: 10/30/2026, 2026

Thomas McKeon

Hon. Thomas McKeon
Justice, Business and Consumer Court

Entered on the docket: 10/30/2026

Electronically Filed: 10/28/2026 2:03 PM

EXHIBIT A

1. Allison K. Baird
2. Jonathan Goodwill
3. Zebulon Kelley
4. Karen M. Kothe
5. Michael J. Marcotte
6. Erin Petrocelli
7. Deanna L. Thibodeaukers